1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 STEVEN M. REMERY, Civil No. 06-2738 BEN (JMA) Inmate No. 0610340979, 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER TRANSFERRING CIVIL ON FOR LACK OF PROPER 14 VS. VENUE TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 15 EASTERN DIVISION. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(1), 16 REHABILITATION, et al., 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) AND 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at the West Valley Detention Center in Rancho 21 Cucamongo, has filed a civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims 22 that his constitutional rights were violated when he was incarcerated at the California Youth 23 Authority in Chino, California. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief as well as 24 compensatory and punitive damages. 25 Plaintiff has not prepaid the \$350 civil filing fee mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); nor 26 has he filed a Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 27 //// 28 ////

1

06cv2738

I. Lack of Proper Venue

Upon initial review of the Complaint, the Court finds that Plaintiff's case lacks proper venue. Venue may be raised by a court sua sponte where the defendant has not yet filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. *Costlow v. Weeks*, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986). "A civil action wherein jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of citizenship may, except as otherwise provided by law, be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); *Costlow*, 790 F.2d at 1488; *Decker Coal Co. v. Commonwealth Edison Co.*, 805 F.2d 834, 842 (9th Cir. 1986). "The district court of a district in which is filed a case laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the interests of justice, transfer such case to any district in or division in which it could have been brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

Here, Plaintiff claims constitutional violations originally arising out of events which occurred in San Bernardino County, not San Diego or Imperial Counties. Moreover, none of the named Defendants are alleged to reside in San Diego or Imperial Counties. Therefore, venue is proper in the Central District of California, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(1), not in the Southern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); *Costlow*, 790 F.2d at 1488.

II. Conclusion and Order

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall transfer this case for lack of proper venue, in the interests of justice and for the convenience of all parties, to the docket of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(1), 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

DATED: January 22, 2007

Hon. Roger T. Benitez United States District Judge

06cv2738